

FINAL AUDIT REPORT

CB Registration No.PA-PGFS-3381-1
PrimusGFS ID #117787 - Cert:6
Audited by Primus Auditing Operations

PrimusGFS Version 2.1-2

Operation Type:Ranch
Audit Report Summary

Ver en Español

Organization:	Berville Farms LLC Contact(s): June Touchette Address: 15313 Bryce Road Capac, MI 48014 48014 Location: Capac, Michigan, United States Phone Number: 810-395-4316														
Operation:	Berville Farms LLC Contact(s): June Touchette Location: 15313 Bryce Road Brockway, Michigan 48014, United States														
Shipper:	Mike Pirrone Produce														
Operation Type:	Ranch														
Audit Type:	Announced Audit														
Audit Scope:	The Berville Farms Ranch (Norman Road) consists of 154 acs. of a variety (bell peppers, cabbage, cucumbers, eggplant, kale, pickles, squash and tomatoes) of fresh market vegetables. The soil is tested and dry fertilizer is applied according to the soil test results and the crop needs. Drip fertigation is used to feed the crop the nutrients that are needed in the different stages of growth. The farm is IPM scouted and pesticides are applied as needed. The farm is planted starting in April and harvesting is completed in October. There were 2 employees drip irrigating the cucumbers at the time of the Audit. The farm is surrounded by fields of grain crops. The 4th set of coordinates is the private lake used for irrigation. The 5th set of coordinates is for the Yale Road #2 well that is used for crop protection water source.														
Date FSMS Started:	26 Jul 2017 08:30														
Date FSMS Finished:	26 Jul 2017 11:45														
Date Operation Started:	26 Jul 2017 15:30														
Date Operation Finished:	26 Jul 2017 17:00														
Product(s) observed during audit:	Bell Peppers, Cabbage, Cucumbers, Eggplant / Aubergine, Kale, Peppers, Pickle Cucumbers, Squash, Tomatoes														
Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed:	None														
Product(s) applied for but not observed:	None														
Auditor:	Rex Marquart (Primus Auditing Operations)														
Preliminary Audit Score:	100%														
Final Audit Score:	100%														
Certificate Valid From:	07 Aug 2017 To 06 Aug 2018														
GPS Coordinates:	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>43° 5' 11"</td> <td>82° 48' 20"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>43° 4' 58"</td> <td>82° 48' 19"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>43° 5' 10"</td> <td>82° 49' 7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>43° 4' 57"</td> <td>82° 49' 6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>43° 4' 59"</td> <td>82° 48' 10"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>43° 7' 40"</td> <td>82° 52' 10"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p> Click here to see map</p>	Latitude	Longitude	43° 5' 11"	82° 48' 20"	43° 4' 58"	82° 48' 19"	43° 5' 10"	82° 49' 7"	43° 4' 57"	82° 49' 6"	43° 4' 59"	82° 48' 10"	43° 7' 40"	82° 52' 10"
Latitude	Longitude														
43° 5' 11"	82° 48' 20"														
43° 4' 58"	82° 48' 19"														
43° 5' 10"	82° 49' 7"														
43° 4' 57"	82° 49' 6"														
43° 4' 59"	82° 48' 10"														
43° 7' 40"	82° 52' 10"														

AUDIT SCORING SUMMARY	Pre-Corrective Action Review		Post-Corrective Action Review	
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Score:	184	Score:	184
	Possible Points:	184	Possible Points:	184
	Percent Score:	100%	Percent Score:	100%
Good Agricultural Practices Requirements	Score:	783	Score:	783
	Possible Points:	783	Possible Points:	783
	Percent Score:	100%	Percent Score:	100%
TOTAL	Score:	967	Score:	967
	Possible Points:	967	Possible Points:	967
	Percent Score:	100%	Percent Score:	100%

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non-Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non-Conformances
TOTAL	0	0

SECTIONS:

Food Safety Management System Requirements	Good Agricultural Practices Requirements
Management System	General GAP
Control of Documents and Records	Site Identification
Procedures and Corrective Actions	Ground History
Internal and external inspections	Adjacent land use
Rejection and release of product	Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition
Supplier Control	Irrigation/Water Use
Traceability and Recall	Crop Protection
Food Defense	Field Worker Hygiene (Applies to on-the-farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers)

FSMS	Management System	
1.01.01	<p>Question: Is there a Food Safety Manual or other documented food safety management system covering the scope of business included in this audit and procedures/instructions for all food safety processes?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. The Berville Farms, LLC Food Safety Manual (BFFSM) and Berville Farms, LLC Employee Hand Book (BFEHB) covers the scope and SOPs of this audit.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance</p>

1.01.02	Question: Is there a documented food safety policy detailing the company's commitment to food safety?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, Mission Statement, Food Safety Policy is the quote: "Food Safety is Everyone's Responsibility". This statement summarizes the company's commitment to food safety and food defense. The policy is posted on the employee information board on each farm. The Mission Statement was signed on 12 Jun 17.	
1.01.03	Question: Is there an organizational chart of all workers who have food safety related activities?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The Berville Farms, LLC organizational Chart indicates that there are 5 primary and 2 secondary employees that are responsible for food safety related activities. It also states that all employees are responsible for the food safety on the farm. It was dated 12 Jun 17.	
1.01.04	Question: Is there a food safety committee and are there logs of food safety meetings with topics covered and attendees?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The Berville Farms food safety committee consists of 5 members. meetings have been held on 13 Mar 17 and 17 Apr 17. Topics covered: organic farm-planting program/equipment; conventional farm-plimusGFS audit; water testing-PCS method. anting program/equipment; fertility program; IPM consultant scouting;	
1.01.05	Question: Is there documented management verification of the entire food safety management system at least every 12 months?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.01.05, Management Review states that a review of the BFFSM and BFEHB is to be completed annually. There were not any changes to the documents of Berville Farms LLC. The review was completed on 10 Jul 17.	
1.01.06	Question: Is there a documented analysis detailing resources required to implement and improve the food safety management system processes with documented commitment from management to provide these resources?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The leasing of the private lake for irrigation water for the Norman Farm fields. The WPS training for Jacob Soule. The Famous Accounting Software requirements for tracking of product.	
FSMS Control of Documents and Records		
1.02.01	Question: Is there a written document control procedure describing how documents will be maintained, updated and replaced?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.02.01, Procedure for Maintaining, Updating and Replacing Documents describes how documents are handled and reviewed.	
1.02.02	Question: Are all records stored for a minimum period of 12 months or for at least the shelf life of product if greater than a year?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. All records are kept for a minimum of 3 years.	
1.02.03	Question: Are food safety related documents and records stored and handled in a secured manner?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The present food safety records and documents are stored in files at the main office. The past records are stored in a locked room in the basement of the main office. The computer records are backed up nightly and stored on a hard drive that is taken off site each night.	

1.02.04	Question: Are the records maintained in an organized and retrievable manner?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	

FSMS	Procedures and Corrective Actions
-------------	--

1.03.01	Question: Are there documented instructions for the creation of Standard Operating Procedures?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.03.01, Creating a SOP indicates the following: Title; Assign SOP Number (XX-XX); Create Initial Draft; Initial Review; Consolidate all Comments; 2nd Draft; Review; Incorporate final comments; Submit for Approval; Issue Approved SOP.	

1.03.02	Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The Master Copy of the BFFSM are maintained in the main office. Copies for the harvest crew, organic farm and conventional farm are given to the employees responsible for each activity.	

1.03.03	Question: Is there a corrective action procedure that describes the requirements for handling deficiencies affecting food safety and prevention of future occurrences?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.03.03, Corrective Actions Procedures describes the requirements for writing a corrective action. Each SOP has a section that describes the steps that are to be taken to correct an issue for a particular SOP. Each log has a CA procedure if an item on the log is not in compliance with the items requirements.	

1.03.04	Question: Is there a daily incidents report, sometimes called a Notice(s) of Unusual Occurrence and Corrective Actions Log (NUOCA) ?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM,, SOP 1.03.04, NUOCA Log is the log that is to be filled out when an unusual occurrence happens.	

FSMS	Internal and external inspections
-------------	--

1.04.01	Question: Is there documented program for internal audits to be performed at the operations, covering all processes impacting food safety and the related documents and records?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.04.01, Internal Audits states that a self-audit will be completed annually using the PrimusGFS Check lists v.2.1-2. The last audit with corrective actions was completed on 07 Jul 17.	

1.04.02	Question: Are there written procedures for handling regulatory inspections?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.045.02, Guidelines for Handling Regulatory and Independent Inspections that covers the following: Sign-In; Identification; Notify Management; Reason for Visit; Opening meeting; Photo Policy; Sampling/Test/Labeling Procedure; Tour Requirements; Reports/Corrective Actions; Closing Meeting; Company Review.	

1.04.03	Question: Are there records of regulatory inspections and/or contracted inspections, company responses and corrective actions, if any?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The farm has not had any regulatory inspections. On 12 Jul 16, a PrimusGFS (Id.#96370) was completed. Corrective actions were submitted on 02 Aug 16.	

1.04.04	Question: Are there documented calibration procedures for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operations that are related to the safety of the product?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.04.04, Sprayer Calibration is the procedure that is followed to calibrate the Hardie Commander Sprayer. The calibration was done by Jacob Soule on 25 Apr 17.	

FSMS	Rejection and release of product
-------------	---

1.05.01	Question: Is there a written procedure for handling on hold or rejected products?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.05.01 On-Hold and Rejected Material Disposition Log; On Hold Tag (Product-ID/Lot No.-Quantity-Date/Time of Hold-Reason for Hold-Authorized Signature).	

1.05.02	Question: Are there records of the handling of on hold or rejected products kept on file?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. All on-hold or rejected product is handled by Mike Pirrone Produce, Inc., the post-harvest handling and marketing company used by Berville Farms, LLC.	

1.05.03	Question: Is there a documented product release procedure available?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.05.03, Release of Product is the procedure that is followed by Mike Pirrone Produce, Inc., the post-harvest handling and marketing company used by Berville Farms, LLC.	

1.05.04	Question: Are there records of product releases kept on file?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. All records of product release is handled by Mike Pirrone Produce, Inc., the post-harvest handling and marketing company used by Berville Farms, LLC.	

1.05.05	Question: Is there a documented system for dealing with customer and buyer food safety complaints/feedback along with records and company responses, including corrective actions?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.05.03, Buyer/Customer Complaint and Feedback is the system that Mike Pirrone Produce, Inc., the post-harvest handling and marketing company used by Berville Farms, LLC, uses to track and reply to buyer/customer food safety complaints.	

FSMS	Supplier Control
-------------	-------------------------

1.06.01	Question: Are there current written food safety related specifications for all raw products, ingredients, materials and services purchased?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The company has Letters of Guarantee for their fertilizer, crop protection material, seed, transplant and portable toilet-hand wash station suppliers. The company has the Mike Pirrone Produce Inc. specifications that the harvest crew is to follow for the produce that they are harvesting that is only post-harvest handled by Mike Pirrone Produce, Inc.	

1.06.02	Question: Is there a written procedure detailing how suppliers are evaluated, approved and monitored?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.06.02, Supplier Approval and Monitoring Procedure is the detailed required forms and documents for a supplier to supply to the company to become an approved supplier. The Berville Farms Records of Supplier Required Documents Supplier Monitoring Log is updated annually. There are entries from 09 Jan 17 to 27 Jun 17 that indicates that all of the 11 suppliers have completed the review procedure and are approved suppliers.	

1.06.03	Question: Is there a list of approved suppliers?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The farm has 4 seed, 1 transplant, 2 fertilizer, 2 packaging, 1 crop protection material and 1 service supplier.	
1.06.04	Question: Does the organization have documented evidence to ensure that all products, ingredients or materials and services suppliers comply with the approval requirements and that they are being monitored as defined in the procedure?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The Berville Farms Records of Supplier Required Documents Supplier Monitoring Log is updated annually. There are entries from 09 Jan 17 to 27 Jun 17 that indicates that all of the 11 suppliers have completed the review procedure and are approved suppliers.	
1.06.05	Question: If the organization is outsourcing any processes that may affect food safety, are there control procedures over such processes?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. This farm does not process any of the fresh market vegetables that it grows and harvests.	
1.06.06	Question: If tests and/or analysis within scope to food safety are performed by external laboratories, are they licensed/accredited (e.g. ISO 17025 or equivalent, National Regulations, State Department, etc.)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. MicroB.E.S.T. Laboratories has provided the company with their Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc., Certificate of Accreditation that expires 31 May 18. The Scope of Accreditation covers Microbiological Food, Environmental and Water testing.	

FSMS	Traceability and Recall
-------------	--------------------------------

1.07.01	Question: Is there a document that indicates how the company product tracking system works, thereby enabling trace back and trace forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.07.01, Berville Farms LLC Traceback and Recall Manual shows how the farms tracking system works, thereby enabling trace back and trace forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue.	
1.07.02	Question: Does the organization have a documented recall program including procedures, recall team roles and contact details, external contact listings and explanation of different recall classes?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Berville Farms LLC Traceback and Recall Manual has the following: Recall Concept and Classifications; Traceback Procedure; Recall Procedure; Individual Responsibilities of Product Traceback & Forward; External Regulatory Contact Listings; Customer Contact Listings.	
1.07.03	Question: Is testing of recall procedures (including trace back) performed and documented at least every six months? Can the company identify where affected product was sent?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The farm only operates from April to October. A mock recall was completed using their just installed Famous Accounting Software on 26 Jul 17 for the Auditor. The mock recall took 45 minutes to complete. 100% of the product was accounted for 2 receivers and product in inventory. It was a Class I recall/notification for a plastic water bottle lift in one container.	

FSMS	Food Defense
-------------	---------------------

1.08.01	Question: Does the company have a documented food defense policy based on the risks associated with the operation?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 1.08.01, Food Defense lists the following risks associated with food security: Employees; Visitors/Contractors; Crop Protection Materials Storage/Inventory; Suppliers; Building Security; Water (Private Lake-Well); Computer Systems.	

1.08.02	Question: Is there a current list of emergency contact phone numbers for management, law enforcement and appropriate regulatory agencies?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the Traceback and Recall Manual is a list of customers, regulatory agencies, management contact numbers. Provided on the labor information boards is a list of law enforcement, management and emergency services numbers (911).	
1.08.03	Question: Are visitors to the company operations required to adhere to food defense policies?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	

GAP	General GAP
------------	--------------------

2.01.01	Question: Is there a designated person responsible for the food safety program in the field?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Jacob Soule is the person that is responsible for the food safety program on this farm.	
2.01.02	Question: Is there documented evidence of the internal audits performed to the audited operations, detailing findings and corrective actions?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A self audit was completed on 21 Jul 17 for this farm. The PrimusGFS check list GAP Module 2 v 2.1-2 was used as a guide.	
2.01.03	Question: Are the necessary food security controls implemented in the operation?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The farm is in-house monitored. There is only one entrance to the farm. The crop protection materials are all stored off of the farm.	

GAP	Site Identification
------------	----------------------------

2.02.01	Question: Is the growing area(s) adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, is the Berville Farms, LLC., Traceback and Product Recall Plan. The plan includes a map of the farm and the field coding system. When the product is harvested it can be tracked as follows: Farm; Field; Crop; Harvest Crew; Date-of-Harvest; PO #; Receiver.	

GAP	Ground History
------------	-----------------------

2.03.01	Question: Were farming area(s) used for growing food crops for human consumption last season?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. This farm was used to grow fresh market vegetable crops last season.	
2.03.02	Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for any non-agricultural functions? If No, go to 2.03.03	Possible Points: 7 Points Scored: 7 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The farm has not been used for any non-agricultural functions.	

2.03.02a	Question: If the land had been used previously for non-agricultural functions have soil tests been conducted showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.03	Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals? If No, go to 2.03.04	Possible Points: 7 Points Scored: 7 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.03.03a	Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk evaluation been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.04	Question: Is there evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity in the audited area? If answer is NO, go to Q 2.03.05.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. There was not any evidence of animal activity on this farm.	
2.03.04a	Question: Is the evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity found, in the form of fecal contamination? If answer is NO, go to Q 2.03.05.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.04b	Question: Is the fecal matter found in the audited area, a systematic event (not sporadic)? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.05	Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growing season? If No, go to 2.03.06	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.03.05a	Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.05b	Question: Have soil tests been conducted on the flooded area(s) showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.03.06	Question: Is the growing operation under organic principals? If No, go to 2.3.07	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. This farm is not under an organic program.	
2.03.06a	Question: Is current certification by an accredited organic certification organization on file and available for review?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	

2.03.07	<p>Question: Has a documented risk assessment been undertaken for the growing area with appropriate corrective actions to minimize identified hazards where necessary?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. The Berville Farms LLC, Hazard Identification and Preventative Controls contains the following categories: Employees Hygiene Training; Site Selection and Preparations; Animal Intrusion; Fertilizing; Water Usage; Sanitary Facilities; Equipment Maintenance & Sanitation; Crop Protection Materials; Harvesting; Transporting.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
<p>GAP Adjacent land use</p>		
2.04.01	<p>Question: Is the adjacent land to the growing area a possible source of contamination from intensive livestock production (e.g. feed lots, dairy operations, poultry houses, meat rendering operation)? If No, go to 2.04.02</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No. Only row crops are grown on the adjacent land.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: No</p>
2.04.01a	<p>Question: Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate this possible contamination source onto the growing area (e.g. buffer areas, physical barriers, foundation, fences, ditches, etc.)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.02	<p>Question: Are, or is there evidence of domestic animals, wild animals, grazing lands (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) in proximity to growing operation? If No, go to 2.04.03.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: No</p>
2.04.02a	<p>Question: Have physical measures been put in place to restrain domestic animals, grazing lands, (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) and their waste from entering the growing area (e.g. vegetative strips, wind breaks, physical barriers, berms, fences, diversion ditches.)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.02b	<p>Question: Is there a written policy supported by visual evidence that domestic, livestock, or wild animals are not allowed in the growing area? Note: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.02c	<p>Question: Are measures in place to reduce or limit the animal intrusion (i.e., monitoring field perimeter for signs of intrusion)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.03	<p>Question: Are untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land? If No, go to 2.04.04</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No. There are not any manure, compost, bio-solids or non-synthetic amendments piled or applied on the adjacent land.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: No</p>
2.04.03a	<p>Question: Have physical measures been taken to secure untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.03b	<p>Question: If biosolids are stored and/or applied on adjacent land, has the adjacent landowner supplied paperwork confirming the biosolids meet prevailing guidelines, governmental, or local standards?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>

2.04.04	<p>Question: Is the growing area situated in a higher risk location where contamination could occur from nearby operations or functions (e.g. leach fields, runoff or potential flooding from sewers, toilet systems, industrial facilities, labor camps)? If No, go to 2.04.05.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: No</p>
2.04.04a	<p>Question: Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate risks related to nearby operations?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.04.05	<p>Question: Is there evidence of human fecal matter in the adjacent land to the audited area? If NO, go to 2.05.01 (Greenhouse Audit) or 2.07.01 (Ranch Audit)</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No. There was not evidence of human fecal matter on the adjacent land.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: No</p>
2.04.05a	<p>Question: Does the human fecal matter found in the adjacent area, represents a high risk to the crop for potential of contamination due to conditions as: lack of access controls (barriers), closeness to the growing area and equipment, crop type and maturity, land condition, and others?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
<p>GAP Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition</p>		
2.07.01	<p>Question: Is untreated human sewage sludge used in the growing cycle? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No. Untreated human sewage sludge is not used on this farm.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: No</p>
2.07.02	<p>Question: Is compost produced from animal derived materials used by the grower? If No, go to 2.07.03</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No</p>
2.07.02a	<p>Question: Are compost applications incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.02b	<p>Question: Are there compost use records available for each growing area, including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days (unless validation studies prove a shorter interval is acceptable)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.02c	<p>Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (CoA) from the compost supplier(s) that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing) and does the grower have relevant letters of guarantee regarding SOP's and logs?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.02d	<p>Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the compost supplier(s) that covers heavy metal testing?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>

2.07.03	<p>Question: Are biosolids used? If No, go to 2.07.04. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Greens) which ban the use of biosolids, see 2.07.03d</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No. Bio-solids are not used on this farm.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No</p>
2.07.03a	<p>Question: Are biosolids incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.03b	<p>Question: Are the grower's biosolids use records available for each growing area, especially application records?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.03c	<p>Question: Is there a Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines (microbiological analysis)? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.03d	<p>Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines (heavy metal test analysis)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.03e	<p>Question: Are biosolids being applied to crops where the country of production regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials e.g. Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines in California? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.04	<p>Question: Is untreated animal manure used? If No, go to 2.07.05. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines) which ban the use of untreated animal manures. See 2.07.04d</p> <p>Auditor Comments: No.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: No</p>
2.07.04a	<p>Question: Is untreated animal manure incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.04b	<p>Question: Are there untreated animal manure records available for each growing area including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 120 days (unless more stringent laws or guidelines exist)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.04c	<p>Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), specification or some other document available for review provided by the untreated animal manure supplier stating the components of the material?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.07.04d	<p>Question: Are untreated animal manures being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>

2.07.05	Question: Are other nonsynthetic crop treatments used (e.g. compost teas, fish emulsions, fish meal, blood meal, "bio fertilizers")? If No, go to 2.07.06	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. Other non-synthetic crop treatments are not used on this farm.	
2.07.05a	Question: Are nonsynthetic treatments that contain animal products or animal manures applied to the edible portions crops?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.05b	Question: Are nonsynthetic crop treatment records available for each growing area including application records demonstrating the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days (unless validation studies prove a shorter interval is acceptable)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.05c	Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis available from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.05d	Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers heavy metal testing?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.06	Question: Are any soil or substrate amendments (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers) used that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures? If No, go to 2.07.07	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.07.06a	Question: Are the grower's soil or substrate amendment (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures) records available for review including application records?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.06b	Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) and/or letters of guarantee stating that the materials used are free from animal products and/or animal manures?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.07.07	Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used? If No, go to 2.07.08	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Inorganic fertilizers are used on this farm.	
2.07.07a	Question: Are the grower's inorganic fertilizer records available for review including application records?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The grower's inorganic fertilizer records show that the farm had dry fertilizer applied on 28 Apr 17. After each crop was planted and established fertilizer was applied through the drip irrigation system weekly. The drip fertigation fertilizer materials varied by each crop and the development of the crop.	

2.07.07b	Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the inorganic fertilizer supplier(s) that specifies the all the ingredients including inert materials?	Possible Points: 7 Points Scored: 7 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Mid-Western BioAg has supplied the company with a Letter of Guarantee dated 12 Jan 17. Each batch/shuttle of fertilizer has a Certificate of Analysis attached to it.	
2.07.08	Question: If fertilizers and/or fertilizer containers are stored on the property, are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination to the growing area(s), product or any of water sources?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The drip fertilizer shuttles are stored in an area next to the water filter system. The area is located 50 feet away from any production field. When applying the liquid fertilizer there is a back flow preventer on the fertilizer lines to prevent back flow into the shuttles and a possible over fill event/spill.	
GAP Irrigation/Water Use		
2.08.01	Question: Does the growing operation practice dryland farming? If No, go to 2.08.02.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. This farm is not operated as a dry land farm.	
2.08.01a	Question: If the growing operation practices dryland farming, are there water systems used in the growing operation to supply for crop needs such as crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.02	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.01b	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.01d	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.01c	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.01d	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.01e	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.01f	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02	Question: Is the water used for the growing operation sourced from Municipal or District water pipeline systems? If No, go to 2.08.03	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The irrigation water used on this farm is not sourced from a Municipal water source.	

2.08.02a	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.02c.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02b	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02c	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02d	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02e	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02f	Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02g	Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02h	Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.02i	Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.03	Question: Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from wells? If No, go to 2.08.04	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The used for crop protection material application is sourced from #2 Well Yale Road.	
2.08.03a	Question: Are all well heads at adequate distance from untreated manure?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	

2.08.03b	Question: Is the well designed to prevent contamination?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The well is designed to prevent contamination.	
2.08.03c	Question: Is it evident that the well(s) is free from contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of wells?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.08.03d	Question: Are records kept for periodic inspections and treatment of wells (if performed) available for review?	Possible Points: 7 Points Scored: 7 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The inspection of the well is recorded on the Berville Farms Well Inspection Log. The well is inspected monthly.	
2.08.03e	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.03g	Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The annual microbiological test for Total Coliforms and E-Coli was done on 22 May 17 by MicroBest Laboratory. The water was sampled from a water valve at the top of the well. The test results were negative.	
2.08.03f	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The well water is tested annually and this years test was on 22 May 17.	
2.08.03g	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, Client Water Sampling Guide for Microbiological Analysis covers the proper sampling protocols for the water sampling of the well water.	
2.08.03h	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, Water Microbiological Testing, Wells (closed) covers the corrective measures to be followed if an unsuitable or abnormal water test result is received.	
2.08.03i	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The company has not received an unsuitable water test result.	
2.08.03j	Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The well water is only used for crop protection material applications.	
2.08.03k	Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The well water is only used for crop protection material applications.	

2.08.03l	Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The well water is only used for crop protection material applications.	
2.08.03m	Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The well water is only used for crop protection material applications.	
2.08.04	Question: Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from ponds, reservoirs, watersheds or other surface water source? If No, go to 2.08.05	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The irrigation water used to drip irrigate the crops on this farm is sourced from a private lake.	
2.08.04a	Question: Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. There is not any untreated manure on the property that the lake is located on. There is not any untreated manure piles on the adjacent land to the lake.	
2.08.04b	Question: Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water source?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. Auditor failed to downscore at the time of the audit.	
2.08.04c	Question: Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.08.04d	Question: Are records kept for the periodic visual inspections and disinfection treatments (if used) available for review?	Possible Points: 7 Points Scored: 7 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the Beville Fam's Log book is the Pond Inspection Log. The pond has been inspected starting 28 May 17 weekly through 24 Jul 17.	
2.08.04e	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.04g	Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The lake water is tested monthly (sampled at filter) by MicroBest Lab. The test results have shown the highest Total Coliforms 600 cfu/100ml. and E-Coli 50 cfu/100ml. These results are within the EPA Recreational Water limits for drip irrigation.	
2.08.04f	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The lake and well water was tested on 22 May 17, 05 Jun 17 and 06 Jul 17.	
2.08.04g	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM is the Client Water Sampling Guide for Microbiological Analysis that covers the proper protocol for the sampling of the lake water.	

2.08.04h	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM is the Water Microbiological Testing Pre-Harvest, open surface procedure that contains the corrective measures to be followed if an unsuitable or abnormal water test result is received.	
2.08.04i	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The company has not received an unsuitable water test result.	
2.08.04j	Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The crops on this farm are irrigated only using a drip irrigation system.	
2.08.04k	Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.08.04l	Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.08.04m	Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No.	
2.08.05	Question: Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from canals, rivers, ditches, or other open flowing water systems? If No, go to 2.08.06	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The irrigation water used on this farm is not sourced from an open flowing water system.	
2.08.05a	Question: Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.05b	Question: Is the water source under the direction of a water authority or district?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.05c	Question: Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water source?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	

2.08.05d	<p>Question: Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05e	<p>Question: Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05f	<p>Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.05h</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05g	<p>Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05h	<p>Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05i	<p>Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05j	<p>Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05k	<p>Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05l	<p>Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05m	<p>Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.08.05n	<p>Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments:</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>

2.08.06	Question: Is reclaimed water used in the growing operation? NOTE: This refers to wastewater that has gone through a treatment process. If No, go to 2.08.07.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The irrigation water used on this farm is not sourced from reclaimed water.	
2.08.06a	Question: Is the reclamation process under the direction of a water reclamation management or authority?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06b	Question: Are microbial control measures for reclaimed water utilized?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06c	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.06e	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06d	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06e	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06f	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06g	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06h	Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06i	Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.06j	Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	

2.08.06k	Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07	Question: Are tail water (run off water) systems used in the growing operation? If No, go to 2.08.08.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The irrigation water used on this farm is not sourced from tail water.	
2.08.07a	Question: Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07b	Question: Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the tail water systems?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07c	Question: Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the tail water system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07d	Question: Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07e	Question: Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.07g	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07f	Question: Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07g	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07h	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07i	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	

2.08.07j	Question: Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07k	Question: Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07l	Question: Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.07m	Question: Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.08.08	Question: Are check valves, anti-siphon devices, or other back flow prevention systems in use when and where necessary?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. At the pump there are 2 back flow preventers. One is a foot valve at the lake end of the suction line. The other is on the pump discharge fitting. There are back flow preventers at the filter that prevent back flow into the fertilizer shuttle tanks. A 2 inch Brass 200 WOG In-Line Check Valve is used to prevent back flow of the well water.	
2.08.09	Question: Is irrigation equipment not in use free from pest contamination and stored clean, off the ground?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
GAP	Crop Protection	
2.09.01	Question: Is there a documented procedure for the mixing/loading of crop protection materials?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 2.09.01, Pesticide Mixing & Loading-Application-Rinsing & Cleaning, Procedure 5.0, Mixing has a 12 step mixing and loading of the crop protection materials used on this farm.	
2.09.01a	Question: If observed, is the mixing/loading of crop protection materials performed according to the procedure and label instructions?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The Auditor did not observe the mixing and loading of the crop protection materials.	
2.09.02	Question: Is there a documented procedure for the application of crop protection materials?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 2.09.01, Pesticide Mixing & Loading-Application-Rinsing & Cleaning, Procedure 6.0, Pesticide Application has a 6 step application and record keeping procedure.	

2.09.02a	<p>Question: If observed, is the application of crop protection materials performed according to the procedure and label instructions?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The Auditor did not observe the application of the crop protection materials.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.03	<p>Question: Is there a documented procedure for the rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, SOP 2.09.01, Pesticide Mixing & Loading-Application-Rinsing & Cleaning, Procedure 7.0, Cleaning and Rinsing of Crop Protection Equipment and Empty Pesticide Container Disposal has a 6 step procedure for the rinsing and cleaning of the crop protection equipment.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.03a	<p>Question: If observed, is the rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment performed according to the procedure and label instructions?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The Auditor did not observe the rinsing and cleaning of the crop protection equipment.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.04	<p>Question: Is there documentation that shows the individual(s) making decisions for crop protection applications are competent?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. Jacob A. Soule has a current (expires 31 Dec 19) Private Pesticide Application License issued by the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development. Mr. Soule is the person that makes the crop protection applications.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.05	<p>Question: Is there documentation that shows workers who handle crop protection materials are trained or are under the supervision of a trained individual?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. Jacob Soule is the only person that handles crop protection materials on this farm.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.06	<p>Question: Are there up to date records of all crop protection products applied during the growing cycle? A 'NO' TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. Applications have been made from 30 May 17 to 05 Jun 17. The records include the following: Crop; Location; Start date-time; Finish date-time; Pesticide Trade Name; Active Ingredient; EPA #; Application Method; Application Rate; Weather; Applicator; REI; PHI; Target Pest.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.07	<p>Question: Are there plant protection products registered and/or authorized by a government agency for use in the target crops in the country of production? If No, go to 2.09.08.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. All of the crop protection materials are registered in the USA for the crops that they have been applied to on this farm.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.07a	<p>Question: Does the growing operation have the information available for the plant protection products registered and/or authorized for use for the target crops in the country of production? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. Mr. Soule follows the guidelines the product labels and the Midwest Vegetable Production Guide for Commercial Growers.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.07b	<p>Question: Are crop protection applications restricted by the guidelines established by the product label, manufacturer recommendation, or by prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. Mr. Soule follows the guidelines the product labels and the Midwest Vegetable Production Guide for Commercial Growers.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes</p>

2.09.07c	<p>Question: Where harvesting is restricted by pre-harvest intervals (as required on the crop protection chemical product labels, manufacturer recommendations and/or by prevailing national/ local standards) is the grower adhering to these pre-harvest interval time periods? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. On 05 Jun 17, Entrust SC was applied to control cabbage looper on the conventional grown kale. The REI is 4 hrs. and PHI 1 day. The kale was harvested on 08 Jul 17.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.08	<p>Question: If applicable, for those plant protection products that are not registered for use on target crops in the country of production, if the country has no or a partial legislative framework to cover plant protection products, can the grower show that they have registration information, label information, MRL tolerances, etc. for the country of destination? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. All crop protection products are registered in the USA. The crops are only sold in the USA.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.09	<p>Question: Is there evidence available that the grower is taking all the necessary measures to comply with the country(ies) of destination expectations regarding crop protection products used (e.g. registration information, label information, MRL tolerances or any other guidelines applicable)? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. All crop protection products are registered in the USA. The crops are only sold in the USA.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.10	<p>Question: If crop protection containers are stored on the property (even temporarily), are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination and disposed of responsibly?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: N/A The score will not be affected. The crop protection containers are not stored on this farm.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A</p>
2.09.11	<p>Question: Have documented policies and/or procedures been developed for the monitoring of crop protection application equipment (e.g. calibration procedures, inspections, replacement)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFFSM, Calibration/Maintenance Procedure is the documented procedure and log for the maintenance of the Hardi Sprayer. The Hardi Sprayer was calibrated on 25 Apr 17. The calibration of each nozzle was completed on 25 Apr 17.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
2.09.11a	<p>Question: Is it evident that the equipment used for crop protection applications is in good working order?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
<p>GAP Field Worker Hygiene (Applies to on-the-farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers)</p>		
2.10.01	<p>Question: Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy for dealing with workers who appear to be physically ill, or become ill while working?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health Item 18 H deals with employees that are physically ill or become ill while working.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
2.10.02	<p>Question: Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy regarding workers with open sores and wounds?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health Item 18 G deals with employees who have open sores or wounds.</p>	<p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes</p>
2.10.03	<p>Question: Does the growing operation have documented and implemented procedures describing the disposition of product that has come into contact with blood or other bodily fluids? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health Item 18 F states: "All produce and materials that comes in contact with blood or bodily fluids shall be immediately removed from the growing area and disposed of without further contamination."</p>	<p>Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes</p>

2.10.04	Question: Does the growing operation have documented and implemented policies prohibiting eating, drinking (including gum chewing) using tobacco in the growing area?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health Item 18 A states: "Smoking, eating, drinking, chewing gum or tobacco is not allowed on the farms."	
2.10.05	Question: Is there a food safety hygiene training program covering new and existing workers and are there records of these training events?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. All employees attend orientation training before they can begin to work on this farm. The training is based on the BFFSM, BFEHB, Safety Video and WPS Standards. The training was done on the following dates: 25 Apr 17- 3 employees; 02 May 17- 3 employees; 09 May 17- 6 employees.	
2.10.06	Question: Are there operational toilet facilities provided? If NO, go to 2.10.07. A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Jay's Septic Tank Service is contracted to supply and service the portable toilets used on this farm.	
2.10.06a	Question: Are toilet facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all workers?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.06b	Question: Are toilet facilities in a suitable location to prevent contamination to product, packaging, equipment and growing areas?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The portable toilets are located in the around of the farm in a grassed area that if a spill was to occur the waste water would not enter the fields.	
2.10.06c	Question: Is a minimum of one toilet facility provided for each group of 20 workers?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.06d	Question: Do toilet facilities have visuals or signs, written in the appropriate languages, reminding workers to wash their hands before returning to work?	Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The toilets have signs in both English and Spanish reminding the employees to wash their hands prior to returning to work.	
2.10.06e	Question: Are the toilets maintained in a clean and sanitary condition and are there records showing toilet cleaning, servicing and stocking is occurring regularly?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The service dates are posted on a sticker that is located on the inside of the toilet.	
2.10.06f	Question: Are the catch basins of the toilets designed and maintained to prevent contamination (e.g. free from leaks and cracks)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.06g	Question: Is there a documented and implemented procedure for emptying the catch basin in a hygienic manner and also in a way that prevents product, packaging, equipment, water systems and growing area contamination?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Jay's Septic Tank Service has provided the company with the service procedures that the service people follow when servicing the toilets. The waste water is taken to the Mt. Clemens Waste Water Treatment Plant.	

2.10.07	Question: Is there evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area(s)? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 20 Points Scored: 20 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The Auditor did not observe any human contamination in the growing area.	
2.10.08	Question: Are there operational hand washing facilities provided? If No, go to 2.10.09	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.08a	Question: Are the hand washing facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all workers?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.08b	Question: Are hand wash stations clearly visible (e.g. situated outside the toilet facility) and easily accessible to workers?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The hand wash station is a self-contained unit that is located outside of the toilets.	
2.10.08c	Question: Are hand wash stations properly stocked with soap, paper towels and trash can?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.08d	Question: Are the hand wash stations designed and being maintained to prevent contamination onto the growing area(s) (i.e. spent water does not go straight to the ground)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The gray water is captured in a holding tank on the bottom of the station.	
2.10.08e	Question: Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy and procedure in place requiring workers to wash their hands (e.g. prior to beginning work, after breaks, after toilet use)?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health, Item 18 B instructs the employees to wash their hands as follows: before beginning work; after breaks; after eating; using the toilet; touching unsanitary surfaces.	
2.10.09	Question: Is fresh potable drinking water provided for workers? If No, go to 2.10.10	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	
2.10.09a	Question: If used, are water containers maintained in a clean condition?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The 10 gal Igloo was clean.	
2.10.10	Question: Are first-aid kits available and is the inventory maintained properly?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes.	

2.10.11	Question: Are there trash cans available on the field placed in suitable locations?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The trash can is located by the hand wash station.	
2.10.12	Question: Are there any foreign material issues observed that are or could be potential risks to the product in the growing area(s)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. The Auditor did not observe any foreign material issues on this farm.	
2.10.13	Question: Is there a documented and implemented policy that infant or toddler aged children are not allowed in the growing area? NOTE: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. In the BFEHB, Smoking, Good Hygiene and Employee Health Item 16, Safety states that infants, toddler aged children are not allowed in the e growing area.	